

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FINJAN, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYMANTEC CORP., WEBSense, INC.,
and SOPHOS, INC.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 10-cv-593 (GMS)

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Case 1:10-cv-00593-GMS Document 725 Filed 12/18/12 Page 2 of 8 PageID #: 11196

A. Finjan, Inc.'s Infringement Claims Against Symantec Corp.

Literal Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962

1. Do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Symantec directly and literally infringes any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962? *A "yes" is a finding for Finjan, a "no" is a finding for Symantec.*

YES _____ NO X

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 1], please mark the claims you found to be infringed:

Claim 1: _____	Claim 5: _____	Claim 6: _____
Claim 12: _____	Claim 15: _____	Claim 33: _____
Claim 37: _____	Claim 38: _____	Claim 45: _____
Claim 52: _____	Claim 55: _____	

Literal Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194

2. Do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Symantec directly and literally infringes any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194? *A "yes" is a finding for Finjan, a "no" is a finding for Symantec.*

YES _____ NO X

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 2], please mark the claims you found to be infringed:

Claim 1: _____	Claim 2: _____	Claim 32: _____
Claim 35: _____	Claim 36: _____	Claim 37: _____
Claim 58: _____	Claim 65: _____	Claim 66: _____

Case 1:10-cv-00593-GMS Document 725 Filed 12/18/12 Page 3 of 8 PageID #: 11197

B. Finjan, Inc.'s Infringement Claims Against Sophos Inc.

Literal Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962

3. Do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Sophos directly and literally infringes any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962? *A "yes" is a finding for Finjan, a "no" is a finding for Sophos.*

YES _____ NO X _____

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 3], please mark the claims you found to be infringed:

Claim 1: _____ Claim 5: _____ Claim 6: _____
Claim 12: _____ Claim 21: _____ Claim 33: _____
Claim 37: _____ Claim 38: _____ Claim 45: _____
Claim 52: _____

Literal Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194

4. Do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Sophos directly and literally infringes any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194? *A "yes" is a finding for Finjan, a "no" is a finding for Sophos.*

YES _____ NO X _____

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 4], please mark the claims you found to be infringed:

Claim 1: _____ Claim 2: _____ Claim 32: _____
Claim 35: _____ Claim 36: _____ Claim 37: _____
Claim 58: _____ Claim 65: _____ Claim 66: _____

Case 1:10-cv-00593-GMS Document 725 Filed 12/18/12 Page 4 of 8 PageID #: 11198

C. Finjan, Inc.'s Infringement Claims Against Websense, Inc.

Literal Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194

5. Do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Websense directly and literally infringes any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194? *A "yes" is a finding for Finjan, a "no" is a finding for Websense.*

YES _____ NO X

[If you answered "Yes" to Question 5], please mark the claims you found to be infringed:

Claim 1: _____ Claim 2: _____ Claim 32: _____

Claim 35: _____ Claim 36: _____ Claim 37: _____

Claim 58: _____ Claim 65: _____ Claim 66: _____

Willful Infringement

6. If you answered "Yes" to Question 5, was Websense's infringement willful?

YES _____ NO X

Case 1:10-cv-00593-GMS Document 725 Filed 12/18/12 Page 5 of 8 PageID #: 11199

D. Defendants' Patent Invalidity Defenses Against Finjan

Anticipation of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194

7. Do you find that any of the Defendants have proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 are invalid because they are anticipated by prior art? A "yes" is a finding for Defendants, a "no" is a finding for Finjan.

YES X NO _____

- a. [If you answered "Yes" to Question 7], please identify the claims you found to be anticipated by prior art:

Claim 1: X Claim 2: X Claim 32: X
Claim 35: X Claim 36: X Claim 37: X
Claim 58: X Claim 65: X Claim 66: X

Obviousness of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194

8. Do you find that any of the Defendants have proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 are invalid because the prior art makes them obvious? A "yes" is a finding for Defendants, a "no" is a finding for Finjan.

YES X NO _____

- a. [If you answered "Yes" to Question 8], please identify the claims you found to be obvious:

Claim 1: X Claim 2: X Claim 32: X
Claim 35: X Claim 36: X Claim 37: X
Claim 58: X Claim 65: X Claim 66: X

Anticipation of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962

9. Do you find that either Symantec or Sophos have proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 are invalid because they are anticipated by prior art? *A "yes" is a finding for Symantec and Sophos, a "no" is a finding for Finjan.*

YES X NO

- a. [If you answered "Yes" to Question 9], please identify the claims you found to be anticipated by prior art:

Claim 1: <u> X </u>	Claim 5: <u> X </u>	Claim 6: <u> X </u>
Claim 12: <u> X </u>	Claim 15: <u> X </u>	Claim 21: <u> X </u>
Claim 33: <u> X </u>	Claim 37: <u> X </u>	Claim 38: <u> X </u>
Claim 45: <u> X </u>	Claim 52: <u> X </u>	Claim 55: <u> X </u>

Obviousness of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962

10. Do you find that either Symantec or Sophos have proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 are invalid because the prior art makes them obvious? *A "yes" is a finding for Symantec and Sophos, a "no" is a finding for Finjan.*

YES X NO

- a. [If you answered "Yes" to Question 10], please identify the claims you found to be obvious:

Claim 1: <u> X </u>	Claim 5: <u> X </u>	Claim 6: <u> X </u>
Claim 12: <u> X </u>	Claim 15: <u> X </u>	Claim 21: <u> X </u>
Claim 33: <u> X </u>	Claim 37: <u> X </u>	Claim 38: <u> X </u>
Claim 45: <u> X </u>	Claim 52: <u> X </u>	Claim 55: <u> X </u>

E. Damages for Finjan's Patent Infringement Claims against Symantec

11. If you have found that the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 and U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 asserted against Symantec are either invalid or not infringed by Symantec, then you need not address damages as to Symantec. If, however, you have found at least one claim of either patent to be both valid and infringed by Symantec, what damages do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

Lump sum royalty: \$ _____

OR

Royalty rate: % _____

Royalty base: \$ _____

Total Damages: \$ _____

F. Damages for Finjan's Patent Infringement Claims against Sophos

12. If you have found that the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 and U.S. Patent No. 6,480,962 asserted against Sophos are either invalid or not infringed by Sophos, then you need not address damages as to Sophos. If, however, you have found at least one claim of either patent to be both valid and infringed by Sophos, what damages do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

Lump sum royalty: \$ _____

OR

Royalty rate: % _____

Royalty base: \$ _____

Total Damages: \$ _____

G. Damages for Finjan's Patent Infringement Claims against Websense

13. If you have found that the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 asserted against Websense are either invalid or not infringed by Websense, then you need not address damages as to Websense. If, however, you have found at least one claim of U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 to be both valid and infringed by Websense, what damages do you find that Finjan has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

Lump sum royalty: \$ _____

OR

Royalty rate: % _____

Royalty base: \$ _____

Total Damages: \$ _____

FOREPERSON

REDACTED